

CABINET

13 November 2018

Subject Heading:

**CALL-IN OF A NON-KEY EXECUTIVE
DECISION 18/53 TPC 864 UPMINSTER
BRIDGE CPZ – RESULTS OF
INFORMAL CONSULTATION**

Cabinet Member:

Councillor Osman Dervish

SLT Lead:

Daniel Fenwick – Director of Legal & Governance

Report Author and contact details:

**Richard Cursons, Democratic
Services Officer**

Policy context:

**Havering Local Development
Framework (2008)**

Financial summary:

**The estimated cost of implementation
is £0.015m and will be met from the
LIP allocation 2018/19 – A2904**

Is this a Key Decision?

No

When should this matter be reviewed?

N/A

Reviewing OSC:

Environment OSSC

The subject matter of this report deals with the following Council Objectives

Communities making Havering
Places making Havering
Opportunities making Havering
Connections making Havering

[x]

[x]

[]

[]

SUMMARY

At its meeting on 9 October 2018, the Overview & Scrutiny Board resolved to uphold a requisition of a Non-key Executive Decision concerning the Upminster Bridge CPZ – Results of informal consultation. The matter is now referred back to Cabinet for its consideration.

RECOMMENDATIONS

That Cabinet Agrees:

- 1) To Implement the decision as set out in the Non-key Executive Decision for the Upminster Bridge CPZ – Results of informal consultation; or
- 2) Not to implement the decision as set out in the Non-key Executive Decision for the Upminster Bridge CPZ – Results of informal consultation.

REPORT DETAIL

On 9 October 2018, the O&S Board convened to consider a requisition of a Non-Key Executive Decision, signed by the Cabinet Member for Environment concerning the Upminster Bridge CPZ – Results of informal consultation (draft minutes of the meeting are attached as Appendix A).

In accordance with the Overview & Scrutiny Procedure Rules, the O&S Board resolved to refer the decision back to Cabinet for its re-consideration.

In reaching its decision, the O&S Board made the following recommendations for Cabinet to consider:

- Members expressed concerns that there had been a lack of consultation and that there were a number of responses that had been returned with no identifiable home address.
- Members also felt that the original scheme was flawed and out of date and that a new round of informal consultation should take place as the existing proposals did not meet with ward councillor's agreement.

Taking into consideration the recommendations of the O&S Board, Cabinet must now decide whether or not to implement the Non-key Executive Decision signed by the Cabinet Member.

REASONS AND OPTIONS

Reasons for the decision:

Cabinet is required to provide reasons regarding its decision on whether or not proceed with the implementation of the Upminster Bridge CPZ – Results of informal consultation Executive Decision.

Other options considered:

Cabinet has two options:

- 1) To Implement the decision as set out in the Non-key Executive Decision for Upminster Bridge CPZ – Results of informal consultation; or
- 2) Not to implement the decision as set out in the Non-key Executive Decision for Upminster Bridge CPZ – Results of informal consultation.

IMPLICATIONS AND RISKS

Financial implications and risks:

As set out in Part B of the Executive Decision

Legal implications and risks:

As set out in Part B of the Executive Decision

Human Resources implications and risks:

As set out in Part B of the Executive Decision

Equalities implications and risks:

As set out in Part B of the Executive Decision

APPENDICES

Draft minute of the Overview & Scrutiny Board dated 9 October 2018
Non-key Executive Decision 18/53 TPC864 Upminster Bridge CPZ – Results of informal consultation.
Highways Advisory Committee Report dated 4 July 2017.